Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Electron structure of superheavy elements Uut, Fl and Uup (Z=113 to 115).

V. A. Dzuba · **V. V. Flambaum**

arXiv:1608.03048v2 [physics.atom-ph] 26 Sep 2016

September 27, 2016

Abstract We use recently developed method of accurate atomic calculations which combines linearized single-double coupled cluster method with the configuration interaction technique to calculate ionisation potentials, excitation energies, static polarizabilities and valence electron densities for superheavy elements Uut, Fl and Uup (Z=113 to 115) and their ions. The accuracy of the calculations is controlled by comparing similar calculations for lighter analogs of the superheavy elements, Tl, Pb and Bi with experiment. The role of relativistic effects and correlations is discussed and comparison with earlier calculations is presented.

Keywords superheavy elements · elements E113, E114, E115 · element flerovium · relativistic and correlation effects in atoms

1 Introduction

Study of superheavy elements (SHE, nuclear charge $Z > 103$) is an important area of research motivated by the search of hypothetical stability island (see, e.g. [1,2,3,4,5]). Experimental work focuses mostly on production and detection of SHE and study of their chemical properties, while there is also some progress in the measurements of the atomic properties. The heaviest element for which ionization potential has been measured is lawrencium ($Z = 103$) [6]. There is good progress in the measurements of the frequencies of strong electric dipole transitions including hyperfine structure and isotope shift for nobelium ($Z = 102$) [7,8]. For heavier atoms the information about their atomic properties comes mostly from atomic calculations. The calculations may help in experimental progress and predict chemical properties of SHE. Apart from that the SHE represent an interesting objects from pure theoretical point of view due to strong interplay between correlation and relativistic effects. For example, strong relativistic effects often lead to breaking similarity in chemical properties between SHE and their lighter analogs (see, e.g. [9,10,11]).

In this paper we study SHE Uut, Fl and Uup (Z=113, 114 and 115). These elements are in the vicinity of the hypothetical stability island and have relatively simple electron structure. They can be considered as atoms with three (Uut, Uup) or four (Fl) valence electrons. For such systems accurate calculations are possible. The atoms were studied before mostly by multi-configuration Hartree-Fock and and coupled cluster methods [12,13,14,15,16,17,20,18]. In this paper we apply a recently developed method which combines linearized single-double couple cluster (SD) and configuration interaction (CI) methods. We present detailed study of the energy levels, ionization potentials, static scalar polarizabilities, and electron densities for the superheavy elements and their ions. We discuss the role of relativistic and correlation effects.

2 Method of Calculation

Atomic calculations always start from calculating the energy and the wave function of the ground state. Then they may proceed to calculation of excited states, their lifetimes, transition amplitudes, etc. Since there are no experimental data on atomic properties of superheavy elements considered in

V. A. Dzuba (✉)
School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia
E-mail: V.Dzuba@unsw.edu.au

V. V. Flambaum
School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Johannes Gutenberg University, , 55099 Mainz, Germany

this paper we should decide which properties should be calculated with higher priorities. Ionization potential (IP) and polarizability of an atom are obviously important parameters since they determine its interaction with environment and thus, its chemical properties. This can be illustrated by the following example. A way of detecting SHE and studying their chemical properties (e.g, volatility) is by observing the SHE absorption to an inert surface. The energy of the interaction of the SHE with the surface is given by the formula [21]

$$E(x) = -\frac{3}{16}\left(\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon+2}\right)\frac{\alpha_{\rm at}}{\left(\frac{1}{IP_{\rm slab}}+\frac{1}{IP_{\rm at}}\right)x^3}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\alpha_{\rm at}$ is atomic polarizability and $IP_{\rm at}$ is its ionization potential; ε is the dielectric constant of the substance of the slab, $IP_{\rm slab}$ is the ionization potential of the slab atom, and x is the surface-atom distance.

Other important characteristics of SHE include frequencies of strong electric dipole transitions from the ground state since they are likely to be measured first. It is also instructive to have other excited states and electron densities and compare them to lighter analogs of SHE to study the role of relativistic effects.

Obtaining reliable results for superheavy elements (SHE) require accurate treatment of relativistic and many-body effects. The inclusion of the most important relativistic effects associated with the Dirac equation is pretty straightforward. These relativistic effects are responsible for the difference between SHE and lighter atoms. Inclusion of other relativistic corrections such as Breit interaction, quantum electrodynamic (QED) corrections are also important for accurate results but they bring little difference in electron structure of SHE compared to lighter atoms. The main challenge for the calculations and largest uncertainty in the results comes from the treatment of the correlations. Accurate results can be obtained for atoms with simple electron structure with few valence electrons. The highest accuracy can be achieved for Fr-like and Ra-like atoms and ions which have only one or two valence electrons well separated from the core in space and on energy scale [22,23,24,25,26]. In this paper we consider atoms and ions with three and four valence electrons from 13th to 15th groups of the periodic table. The method of treating correlations is presented in next section. Note that while accurate treatment of correlations is very important for accurate results, the correlations for SHE are very similar to those of the lighter atoms and usually do not cause any significant difference in the atomic properties of SHE.

2.1 Treating the correlations. The SD+CI method.

For accurate treatment of correlations we use the combinations of the single-double (SD) coupled cluster method and the configuration interaction (CI) technique developed in Ref. [27] (the SD+CI method). Here we give its brief summary.

All atomic electrons are divided into two categories, the core electrons, which occupy the closed-shell core of the atom, and valence electrons, which occupy outermost open shells. The wave function of the valence electrons is assumed to be in the form

$$\Psi(r_1,\ldots,r_{N_v}) = \sum_i c_i \Phi_i(r_1,\ldots,r_{N_v}), \qquad (2)$$

where N_v is the number of valence electrons (N_v=3 for Tl, E113, Bi and E115, $N_v = 4$ for Pb and E114), $\Phi_i(r_1,\ldots,r_{N_v})$ is a single-determinant basis state constructed from single-electron orbitals ϕ_j. For example, for two valence electrons

$$\Phi_i(r_1,r_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\phi_j(r_1)\phi_m(r_2) - \phi_m(r_1)\phi_j(r_2)\right). \qquad (3)$$

The expansion coefficients c_i in (2) are found by solving the eigenvalue problem for the effective CI Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}^{\rm CI} = \sum_i^{N_v}\hat{h}_1(r_i) + \sum_{i<j}^{N_v}\hat{h}_2(r_i,r_j), \qquad (4)$$

$\hat{h}_1$ and $\hat{h}_2$ are one and two-electron parts of the Hamiltonian. The one electron part is given by

$$\hat{h}_1 = c\alpha\mathbf{p} + (\beta-1)mc^2 + V_{\rm core} + \hat{\Sigma}_1, \qquad (5)$$

where α and β are Dirac matrixes, $V_{\rm core}$ is the self-consistent potential of the atomic core (including nuclear part), $\hat{\Sigma}_1$ is the single-electron correlation operator responsible for the correlation interaction of a valence electron with the core.

Two-electron part of the Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{h}_2(r_1,r_2) = \frac{e^2}{|r_1-r_2|} + \hat{\Sigma}_2(r_1,r_2), \qquad (6)$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}_2$ is the correlation correction to the Coulomb interaction between valence electrons caused by core electrons.

The $\hat{\Sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_2$ operators represent core-valence correlations. They can be calculated in the lowest, second order of the many-body perturbation theory (MBPT). Inclusion of the second-order $\hat{\Sigma}$ into the CI calculations leads to significant improvement of the accuracy of the calculations compared to the CI method with no $\hat{\Sigma}$. Further improvements in accuracy is achieved when $\hat{\Sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_2$ are calculated to all orders using the SD approach [27,28]. This approach also includes core-core correlations. The SD equations for the core do not depend on valence electrons and remain the same regardless of whether the SD and CI methods combined together or not. These equations can be found elsewhere (see,

e.g. [27,28]). The SD equations for valence states in the SD+CI method can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}&(\varepsilon_0+\varepsilon_b-\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_n)\rho_{mnvb}-\\&\sum_{rs}g_{mnrs}\rho_{rsvb}-\sum_{rc}\tilde{g}_{cnrb}\tilde{\rho}_{mrvc}=\\&g_{mnvb}+\sum_{cd}g_{cdvb}\rho_{mncd}-\sum_{c}g_{cnvb}\rho_{mc}+\\&\sum_{r}g_{nmrv}\rho_{rb}-\sum_{c}g_{cmbv}\rho_{nc}+\sum_{rc}\tilde{g}_{cmrv}\tilde{\rho}_{nrbc}.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Here parameters g are Coulomb integrals

$$g_{mnab}=\int\int\psi_m^\dagger(r_1)\psi_n^\dagger(r_2)\frac{e^2}{r_{12}}\psi_a(r_1)\psi_b(r_2)d\mathbf{r}_1d\mathbf{r}_2,$$

parameters ε are the single-electron Hartree-Fock energies, ε_0 is an external parameter related to the energy of valence state of interest [27]. Coefficients ρ_{ij} and ρ_{ijkl} are the expansion coefficients found by solving the SD equations. The tilde above g or ρ means the sum of direct and exchange terms, e.g.

$$\tilde{\rho}_{nrbc}=\rho_{nrbc}-\rho_{nrcb}.$$

Indexes a,b,c numerate states in atomic core, indexes m,n,r,s numerate states above the core, indexes i,j,k,l numerate any states.

Equations (7) are solved iteratively starting from

$$\rho_{mnij}=\frac{g_{mnij}}{\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j-\varepsilon_m-\varepsilon_n}.\tag{8}$$

The right-hand side of (7) contains only terms which do not change in the iterations. Corresponding ρ_{ij} and ρ_{ijkl} coefficients are found from solving the SD equations for the core (see Ref. [27,28] for more details).

After solving the SD equations, matrix elements for the $\hat{\Sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_2$ operators can be found by

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle m|\hat{\Sigma}_1|v\rangle=\sum_{bn}\tilde{g}_{mban}\rho_{nb}+\\&\sum_{bnr}g_{mbnr}\tilde{\rho}_{nrvb}-\sum_{bcn}g_{bcvn}\tilde{\rho}_{mnbc},\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle mn|\hat{\Sigma}_2|vw\rangle=\\&\sum_{cd}g_{cdvw}\rho_{mncd}-\sum_{c}(g_{cnvw}\rho_{mc}+g_{cmwv}\rho_{nc})+\\&\sum_{rc}(g_{cnrw}\tilde{\rho}_{mrvc}+g_{cmrv}\tilde{\rho}_{nrwc}+g_{cnwr}\rho_{mrvc}\\&+g_{cmvr}\rho_{nrwc}-g_{cmwr}\rho_{nrcv}-g_{cnvr}\rho_{mrcw}).\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

A complete set of single-electron states is needed for solving the SD equations (7) and for construction of the many-electron valence states (2). We use the same B-spline technique [29] in both cases. Forty B-spline states of the order of nine are calculated in a box of radius 40 a_B in the V^{N-M} potential [30]. Here N is total number of electrons and M is number of valence electrons. We include partial waves up to $l_{\max}=6$. Thirty lowest states in each partial wave are used for solving the SD equations for the core and for valence states. The SD equation for valence states are solved for few (usually three) states above the core in each partial wave up to $l_{\max}=2$. The second-order correlation potential $\hat{\Sigma}$ is used for higher states. Fourteen states above the core in each partial wave up to $l_{\max}=4$ are used in the CI calculations. With this choice of the parameters the basis is sufficiently saturated.

2.2 Study of relativistic effects

Dominating relativistic effects $\left(\sim(Z\alpha)^2\right)$ are included by solving Dirac-like equation for since-electron orbitals. Breit and quantum electrodynamics (QED) corrections are also included (see below). Choosing single-electron orbitals in the form

$$\psi(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{r}\begin{pmatrix}f(r)\Omega_{\kappa,m}(\mathbf{n})\\i\alpha g(r)\Omega_{-\kappa,m}(\mathbf{n})\end{pmatrix}\tag{11}$$

leads to the following form of the Dirac equation (we use atomic units)

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}+\frac{\kappa}{r}f-\left[2+\alpha^2(\varepsilon-\hat{V})\right]g=0,\\&\frac{\partial g}{\partial r}-\frac{\kappa}{r}g+(\varepsilon-\hat{V})f=0.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Here $\mathbf{n}\equiv\mathbf{r}/r$, $\kappa=(-1)^{l+j+1/2}(j+1/2)$ defines electron orbital angular momentum l and total angular momentum j, α is the fine structure constant, and $\hat{V}$ is the potential. Potential includes nuclear and electron parts. Electron part includes direct and exchange terms. Fine structure constant α serves as a measure of relativistic effect. Non-relativistic limit corresponds to $\alpha=0$. Varying the value of α in (12) and corresponding computer codes allows us to study the role of relativistic effects in atoms. For example, it was used to search for the manifestations of the hypothetical space-time variation of the fine structure constant in atomic spectra (see, e.g. [31,32,33]).

We include Breit interaction in the zero momentum transfer approximation. Corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}^B=-\frac{\alpha_1\cdot\alpha_2+(\alpha_1\cdot\mathbf{n})(\alpha_2\cdot\mathbf{n})}{2r}.\tag{13}$$

Here $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{n}r$, r is the distance between electrons, and α is the Dirac matrix. The Hamiltonian (13) includes magnetic interaction and retardation. Similar to Coulomb interaction, we determine the self-consistent Hartree-Fock contribution arising from Breit interaction by adding Breit term to the self-consistent Hartree-Fok potential,

$$\hat{V}=V^C+V^B.\tag{14}$$

Here V^C is the Coulomb potential, V^B is the Breit potential. Iterating Hartree-Fock equations with the Breit term in the

Table 1 Calculated and experimental ionization potentials (IP, in cm^{-1}) and excitation energies (EE, in cm^{-1}) for the ground and lowest excited states of Tl, Pb and Bi atoms. Other theoretical data are taken from Ref. [12] for Tl, Ref. [17] for Pb and Ref. [18] for Bi. Experimental values are taken from the NIST database [38].

Atom		State		E_{calc}		E_{expt}
				this work	other	
Tl	IP	$6s^26p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	49228	48575	49266
	EE	$6s^26p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	7897	7710	7793
		$6s^27s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	26713	26456	26478
		$6s^28p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	34486		34160
		$6s^28p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	35456		35161
		$6s^26d$	$^2D_{3/2}$	36348		36118
		$6s^26d$	$^2D_{5/2}$	36466		36200
		$6s^28s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	40932		38746
		$6s^25f$	$^2F^o_{5/2}$	41982		42318
		$6s^25f$	$^2F^o_{7/2}$	42011		42318
Pb	IP	$6s^26p^2$	$^1S_0$	58980	59276	59819
	EE	$6s^26p^2$	$^3P_1$	7538	7531	7819
		$6s^26p^2$	$^3D_2$	10527	10307	10650
		$6s^26p^2$	$^3D_2$	21033	20853	21457
		$6s^26p^2$	$^1S_0$	29438	29259	29466
		$6s^27s6p$	$^1S^o_0$	35737	34405	34960
		$6s^27s6p$	$^3P^o_1$	36099	34711	35287
Bi	IP	$6p^3$	$^4P^o_{3/2}$	58502	58656	58762
	EE	$6p^3$	$^4D^o_{3/2}$	11673		11419
		$6p^3$	$^2D^o_{5/2}$	15750		15438
		$6p^3$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	21710		21661
		$7s6p^2$	$^2S_{1/2}$	32542		32588
		$6p^3$	$^4D^o_{3/2}$	33486		33164
		$6p^27p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	42276		41125
		$6p^28p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	43971		42940
		$6p^26d$	$^2D_{3/2}$	45086		43912
		$6p^26d$	$^2D_{5/2}$	45926		44816
		$6p^27s$	$^4P_{3/2}$	44411		44865
		$6p^27s$	$^2P_{1/2}$	45587		45915

potential corresponds to the inclusion of the important relaxation effect (see, e.g. [34]). Note that this also leads to inclusion of the higher-order Breit corrections which have no physical meaning. In principle, they can be removed by simple rescaling procedure [35,36]. However, in practice they are small and do not affect the results.

Quantum electrodynamics radiative corrections to the energies (Lamb shifts) are accounted for by the use of the radiative potential introduced in Ref. [37]. This potential has the form

$$V_{\rm rad}(r) = V_U(r) + V_g(r) + V_e(r)\ , \tag{15}$$

where V_U is the Uehling potential, V_g is the potential arising from the magnetic formfactor, and V_e is the potential arising from the electric formfactor. As for the case of the Breit interaction, the relaxation effect is important and included by iterating the Hartre-Fock equations with the radiative potential added to the Hartree-Fock potential. Table 1 compares calculated ionisation potentials and lowest excitation energies of Tl, Pb and Bi atoms with experimental data. Correlations and relativistic effects are included in all calculations as has been described above. The difference between theory and experiment is on the level of $\sim 1\%$.

WE also present in Table 1 the results of coupled-cluster (CC) calculations of the energy levels of Tl, Pb and Bi taken from the works devoted to superheavy elements E113 [12], E114 [17] and E115 [18]. All calculations are done with the same method and probably with the same set of computer codes. The most detailed data are presented for Pb. Comparison shows that both calculations have very similar deviations from the experimental data. On the other hand, the difference between two calculations is significantly smaller that the difference between theory and experiment at least for the lowest states. This is important observation since the calculations are done with the use of very different techniques. Good agreement between different calculations adds to the reliability of the results.

2.3 Calculation of polarizabilities

Polarisability is an important characteristic of an atom defining its interaction with external field. E.g., second-order Stark shift is given by $\delta E = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha\varepsilon^2$, where E is atomic energy, α is polarisability and ε is electric field. For atoms with total angular momentum $J \geq 1$ polarisability is given by the sum of two terms

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 \frac{3M^2 - J(J+1)}{J(2J-1)}, \tag{16}$$

where α_0 is scalar polarisability, α_2 is tensor polarisability and M is projection of J.

When many-electron atom is treated by a configuration interaction technique all atomic electrons are divided into two groups. Most go to the closed-shell core, and few remaining are treated as valence electrons. As a consequence, there are three contributions to the scalar polarisability, core contribution, core-valence contribution, and valence contribution

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_c + \alpha_{cv} + \alpha_v. \tag{17}$$

Core-valence contributions arise due to the interference between core and valence terms. Calculation of the core polarisability is affected by the presence of the valence electrons due to the Pauli principle. States, occupied by valence electrons must be excluded from the summation over complete set of states.

Another consequence of dividing electrons into core and valence categories is the effect of core polarisation by external field. This effect is usually included within the so-called

Table 2 Contributions to the static scalar polarizabilities α_0 ($\alpha_0 = \alpha_c + \alpha_{cv} + \alpha_v$) of the ground state of Tl, Pb and Bi atoms (in a_B^3) and comparison with earlier calculations or measurements. See formula (17) and discussion below it for definitions of α_c, α_{cv}, and α_v.

			Present work				Other
Atom	State		α_c	α_{cv}	α_v	α_0	α_0
Tl	$6s^2 6p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	4.98	-0.11	42.91	47.78	49.2[41], 51.6[42], 51.3(5.4)[43]
Pb	$6s^2 6p^2$	$^1S_0$	3.63	-0.44	40.85	44.04	47.3[44],47.1(7.0)[44], 46(11)[45]
Bi	$6p^3$	$^4P^o_{3/2}$	11.22	-5.43	38.83	44.62	50(12)[45]

random-phase approximation (RPA). The RPA equations for single-electron orbitals ϕ can be written as

$$(\hat{H}^{\rm HF} - \varepsilon)\delta\phi = -(\hat{F} + \delta V)\phi, \tag{18}$$

where $\hat{H}^{\rm HF}$ is the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian, $\delta\phi$ is the correction to the single-electron orbital ϕ due to external field, $\hat{F}$ is the operator of the external field, and δV is the correction to the self-consistent Hartree-Fock potential due to the external field. In case of calculation of the static polarizabilities, the external field is static electric field and $\hat{F}$ is the electric dipole operator, $\hat{F} \equiv \hat{d} = -e\hat{r}$.

Core contribution to the polarisability is given by

$$\alpha_c = \frac{2}{3}\sum_{an} \frac{\langle a||\hat{d}||n\rangle\langle a||\hat{d} + \delta V||n\rangle}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_a} \tag{19}$$

Summation goes over core states a and complete set of single-electron states above the core n. Note that the RPA correction is included into only one of two matrix elements (see, e.g. Ref. [39] for more detailed discussion).

Expression (19) does not take into account the presence of valence electrons. States occupied by valence electrons must be excluded from the summation over excited states n in (19). This is not a trivial task since states n are just single-electron basis states but not real physical states. The solution within the CI approach is the following. Recall that the many-electron wave function for valence electrons has the form (2). Then fractional occupation number w_n for a single-electron orbital ϕ_n can be found as a sum

$$w_n = \sum_i c_{in}^2, \tag{20}$$

where additional index n for expansion coefficients means that summation goes only over those states Φ_i in (2) which contain single-electron orbital ϕ_n in the definition of Φ_i (e.g., formula (3) for the two-valence-electrons case). Final occupation number for the orbital ϕ_n is the ratio of w_n to the maximum possible number of electrons in state n which is $2j_n + 1$. In the end, the sum of the core and core-valence contributions to atomic polarisability can be written as

$$\alpha_c + \alpha_{vc} = \frac{2}{3}\sum_{an} \frac{\langle a||\hat{d}||n\rangle\langle n||\hat{d} + \delta V||a\rangle}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_a}\left(1 - \frac{w_n}{2j_n + 1}\right). \tag{21}$$

Valence contribution to the polarisability is given by

$$\alpha_v = \frac{2}{3(2J_0+1)}\sum_N \frac{|\langle 0||\hat{D}^{\rm RPA}||N\rangle|^2}{E_N - E_0}. \tag{22}$$

The summation goes over complete set of many-electron valence states N which are the eigenstates of the CI hamiltonian and have a form of (2). E_N is the energy of state N, E_0 and J_0 are the energy and total angular momentum of the state $|0\rangle$, $\hat{D}^{\rm RPA}$ is the many-electron operator of electric dipole moment, $\hat{D}^{\rm RPA} = \sum_i^{N_v}(\hat{d}_i + \delta V_i)$.

Performing summation over many-electron states in (22) is a challenging task since most if not all of the eigenstates of the CI Hamiltonian need to be included for accurate results. We use the technique introduced by Dalgarno and Lewis [40] to perform the summation. In this approach a correction to the ground state many electron wave function is introduced in a form

$$|\tilde{0}\rangle = \sum_N |N\rangle \frac{\langle N||\hat{D}^{\rm RPA}||0\rangle}{E_N - E_0}. \tag{23}$$

Since all states N and 0 in (23) are the eigenstates of the CI Hamiltonian, the correction (23) satisfies the equation

$$(\hat{H}^{\rm CI} - E_0)|\tilde{0}\rangle = -D^{\rm RPA}|0\rangle. \tag{24}$$

In the CI technique the correction $|\tilde{0}\rangle$ has a form of (2) and equation (24) is reduced to the system of linear equations for expansion coefficients c_i. After solving the equations we calculate valence part of the polarisability using the formula

$$\alpha_v = \frac{2}{3(2J_0+1)}\langle 0||\hat{D}^{\rm RPA}||\tilde{0}\rangle. \tag{25}$$

Calculation of tensor polarisability α_2 (see Eq. (16) is similar. There is no core contribution because total angular momentum of the closed shell core is zero. Calculation of the valence contribution involves performing the same summation as in (22). It can be done ones for both polarizabilities, α_0 and α_2. The formula for α_2 differs from (22) by angular coefficients only and can be found elsewhere (see, e.g. [39]).

Static scalar polarizabiliries of Tl, Pb and Bi are presented in Table 2. Bi atom has also tensor polarisability of the ground state which is found to be $\alpha_2 = -5.38\ a_B^3$. As can be seen from the data all terms, core, core-valence and

valence, give significant contribution to the scalar polarizability and there is good agreement between present calculations and available experimental data and earlier calculations. Judging by the differences in results the accuracy of present calculations is within few percent.

2.4 Density of valence electrons

In the configuration interaction calculations many-electron wave function for the valence electrons has a form of (2) in which single-determinant basis states Φ_i are constructed from single-electron states of the form (11). Therefore, density of valence electrons as a function of distance can be calculated as

$$\rho_v(r) = \sum_i c_i^2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_v} \left(f_n^2(r) + \alpha^2 g_n^2(r) \right). \quad (26)$$

This expression is the most accurate one. It includes correlations and relativistic effects. To study the effect of correlations one should compare (26) with the electron density calculated in the HF approximation using the V^N potential (all atomic electrons are included into the self-consistent HF procedure),

$$\rho_v(r)_{\rm HF} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_v} \left(f_n^2(r) + \alpha^2 g_n^2(r) \right), \quad (27)$$

where f_n and g_n are single-electron HF wave functions of the valence electrons. Non-relativistic limit for the density can be obtained if the calculations are conducted with $\alpha = 0$.

3 Results and discussion

Calculated energy levels, g-factors and ionization potentials of superheavy elements E113, E114 and E115 and their ions are presented in Tables 3, 4, 5. The results of earlier calculations are also presented for comparison. As it was discussed above we expect that the accuracy of our calculated energies is about 1%. In most of cases the difference with other results is slightly larger, varying from about 1% to few per cents. Since other calculations must also have uncertainty, the results are rather in agreement with each other. Note, that the agreement for E114 is significantly better than for E113 (see Tables 3 and 4).

The g-factors are useful for identification of states. We can see from the data in the tables that the values of calculated g-factors are close to corresponding non-relativistic values given by

$$g_{\rm NR} = 1 + \frac{J(J+1) - L(L+1) + S(S+1)}{2J(J+1)}. \quad (28)$$

This allows us to use non-relativistic labelling of the states.

Table 3 Calculated excitation energies (E, cm^{-1}), ionisation potentials (I.P.) and g-factors for the lowest states of the E113 atom and E113$^+$ and E113^{++} ions.

State		This work E	This work g	Other E [12]
E113, I.P. = 7.37 eV				
$7s^27p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	0	0.6667	0
$7s^27p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	24758	1.3333	22528
$7s^28s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	36665	1.9997	35124
$7s^28p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	44346	0.6667	
$7s^28p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	47104	1.3333	
$7s^27d$	$^2D_{3/2}$	48768	0.8000	
$7s^27d$	$^2D_{5/2}$	49176	1.2000	
$7s^29s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	49774	2.0001	
$7s^29p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	51375	0.6667	
$7s^26f$	$^2F^o_{5/2}$	52225	0.8571	
$7s^26f$	$^2F^o_{7/2}$	52231	1.1429	
$7s^29p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	52485	1.3333	
E113$^+$, I.P. = 23.6 eV				
$7s^2$	$^1S_0$	0	0.0000	
$7s7p$	$^3P^o_0$	60042	0.0000	61245
$7s7p$	$^3P^o_1$	63187	1.3969	66868
$7s7p$	$^3P^o_2$	97312	1.4999	82208
$7s7p$	$^1P^o_1$	104560	1.1024	107182
E113^{++}, I.P. = 33.5 eV				
$7s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	0	2.0000	
$7p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	70054	0.6667	74779
$7p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	117593	1.3333	111044

Calculated IPs of the superheavy elements are compared with IPs of their lighter analogs and with other calculations in Table 6. The agreement of present results with experiment and with best earlier calculations is on the level of 1%.

Comparing the spectra of superheavy elements and their lighter analogs (see tables 3, 4, 5 and 1) reveals the difference which can be attributed to relativistic relaxation of the s and $p_{1/2}$ states and increased fine structure intervals for p and d states. For example, ionization potentials of E113 and E114 are significantly larger than those of Tl and Pb. This is because IPs of these elements are the energies of removal of the external $p_{1/2}$ electron from the atom. However, due to relativistic relaxation, the $7p_{1/2}$ states of E113 and E114 are deeper on the energy scale than the $6p_{1/2}$ states of Tl and Pb. In contrast, the IP of E115 is smaller than those of Bi. These is because these atoms have three external p-electrons which means that the $p_{3/2}$ state is also occupied. The IP is the energy to remove the $p_{3/2}$ electron. Due to larger fine structure, the $7p_{3/2}$ electron of E115 is higher on the energy scale than the $6p_{3/2}$ electron of Bi. Note that the E115$^+$ ion has no $7p_{3/2}$ electron and its ionization potential is larger than for the case of E114$^+$ due to larger Z (see Table 6).

Similar tendency can be observed for polarizabilities (see Tables 7 and 2). The polarizabilities of E113 and E114 are

Table 4 Calculated excitation energies (E, cm^{-1}), ionisation potentials (I.P.) and g-factors for lowest states of the Fl atom (E114) and its ion.

State		This work E	g	Other E [17]
E114, I.P. = 8.37 eV				
$7s^27p^2$	$^1S_0$	0	0.0	0
$7s^27p^2$	$^3P_1$	27316	1.499	26342
$7s^27p^2$	$^3D_2$	29149	1.190	28983
$7s^28s7p$	$^1S^o_0$	44036	0.0	43111
$7s^28s7p$	$^3P^o_1$	44362	1.341	43441
$7s^27p8p$	$^3D_1$	51834	0.667	51302
$7s^27p8p$	$^1S_0$	53149	0.0	52487
$7s^27p8p$	$^3P_1$	55414	1.5	54647
$7s^27p8p$	$^3D_2$	55191	1.170	54814
$7s^27p7d$	$^3F^o_2$	56988	0.769	
$7s^27p7d$	$^1P^o_1$	57244	1.045	
$7s^29s7p$	$^1S^o_0$	57367	0.0	
$7s^27p7d$	$^3D^o_2$	57413	1.282	
$7s^27p7d$	$^3F^o_3$	57481	1.113	
$7s^27p6f$	$^5G_3$	60291	0.876	
$7s^27p6f$	$^3F_3$	60298	1.151	
$7s^27p6f$	$^3G_4$	60311	1.084	
E114$^+$, I.P. = 17.0 eV				
$6d^{10}7s^27p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	0	0.667	
$6d^{10}7s^27p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	40380	1.333	
$6d^{10}7s7p^2$	$^2S_{1/2}$	73746	2.250	
$6d^{10}7s^28s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	74772	2.019	
$6d^97s^27p^2$	$^2S_{5/2}$	75133	1.211	
$6d^97s^27p^2$	$^2S_{3/2}$	110250	0.876	

Table 5 Calculated excitation energies (E, cm^{-1}), ionisation potentials (I.P.), and g-factors for the lowest states of E115, E115$^+$ and E115^{++}.

State		E	g
E115, I.P. = 5.56 eV			
$7p^3$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	0	1.4039
$7p^28s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	18377	2.0427
$7p^28p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	28382	0.6668
$7p^28p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	31716	1.3449
$7p^27d$	$^2D_{3/2}$	33092	0.7987
$7p^27d$	$^2D_{5/2}$	33273	1.2007
$7p^29s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	34332	2.0078
$7p^3$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	35484	1.4295
$7p^29p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	36884	0.6667
$7p^29p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	38127	1.3338
E115$^+$, I.P. = 18.4 eV			
$7p^2$	$^1S_0$	0	0.0000
$7p^2$	$^3P_1$	42137	1.4999
$7p^2$	$^3D_2$	45530	1.1931
$8s7p$	$^1S^o_0$	80575	0.0000
$8s7p$	$^3P^o_1$	81159	1.3412
$7p^2$	$^3D_2$	93328	1.3066
$7p8p$	$^3D_1$	96781	0.6669
$7p7d$	$^3F^o_2$	99056	0.7731
$7p8p$	$^1S_0$	99066	0.0000
$7p7d$	$^1P^o_1$	100050	0.8036
$7p7d$	$^3D^o_2$	100282	1.2754
$7p7d$	$^3F^o_3$	100521	1.1167
$7p^2$	$^1S_0$	102055	0.0000
$7p8p$	$^3P_1$	105263	1.4895
$7p8p$	$^3D_2$	105556	1.1691
E115^{++}, I.P. = 27.7 eV			
$7p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	0	0.6667
$7p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	55538	1.3333
$8s$	$^2S_{1/2}$	109339	2.0000
$7d$	$^2D_{3/2}$	125854	0.8000
$7d$	$^2D_{5/2}$	128850	1.2000
$8p$	$^2P^o_{1/2}$	132480	0.6667
$8p$	$^2P^o_{3/2}$	145164	1.3333
$6f$	$^2F^o_{5/2}$	152111	0.8571
$6f$	$^2F^o_{7/2}$	152167	1.1429

smaller than those of Tl and Pb, while polarizability of E115 is lager than those of Bi.

Figs. 1, 2 and 3 show electron density of valence electrons of superheavy elements E113, E114 and E115 calculated in different approximations. Solid line represents the result of most accurate calculations using the CI wave function and formula (26). Dashed line shows the density in the relativistic Hartree-Fock approximation calculated using formula (27). Dotted line is the Hartree-Fock density calculated in the non-relativistic limit. The difference between first two lines is due to correlations while the difference between latter two lines is due to relativistic effects associated with Dirac equation (12). Comparing the graphs for E113 and E114 shows that the relativistic effects cause significantly more change to the electron density than correlations. Both atoms have the $7s_{1/2}$ and $7p_{1/2}$ valence states with two electrons in the $7s_{1/2}$ state and one or two electrons in the $7p_{1/2}$ state. Relativistic effects cause this states to contract towards the core. Configuration interaction brings admixture of other states most of which are also $p_{1/2}$ or $s_{1/2}$ states. They experience similar contraction causing little change in electron density. The picture is more complicated for the E115 atom (Fig. 3) due to the presence of the $7p_{3/2}$ state. Relativistic effects move the $7p_{1/2}$ and $7p_{3/2}$ states in opposite directions, therefore, the change in total density is smaller while the effect of correlations is larger.

4 Conclusion

Accurate calculations of energy levels, ionization potentials, polarizabilities and densities of valence electrons of superheavy elements E113, E114 and E115 are presented. Similar calculations for lighter analogs of the superheavy elements are presented for comparison and for the control of accuracy. The accuracy for the energies is expected to be on the level of 1% and the accuracy for the polarizabilities is few per cent. The study of relativistic and correlation effects reveal that the difference in electron structure of su-

Table 6 Ionization potential of Tl, Pb, Bi and superheavy elements E113, E114, E115 including ions (eV). Comparison with experiment and other calculations.

Atom/ Ion	Expt. [38]	Present work	Other
Tl	6.108	6.103	6.022 [12]; 6.096 [13]
Pb	7.416	7.312	7.035 [14]; 7.35 [15]; 7.349 [17]; 7.484 [17]
Bi	7.285	7.253	7.272 [18]
E113		7.37	7.306 [13]; 7.29 [12]
$E113^{+}$		23.6	23.9 [12]
$E113^{++}$		33.5	33.6 [12]
E114		8.37	8.28 [14]; 8.54 [15]; 8.539 [17]
$E114^{+}$		17.0	17.2 [14]; 16.871 [17]
E115		5.56	5.574 [18]
$E115^{+}$		18.4	18.360 [18]
$E115^{++}$		27.7	

Table 7 Static scalar polarizabilities α_0 of SHE (in a.u.). Comparison with other calculations.

Atom	Ground state		Present work	Other
E113	$7s^2 7p$	$^2\mathrm{P}^o_{1/2}$	28.8	29.85 [13]
Fl	$7s^2 7p^2$	$^1\mathrm{S}_0$	31.4	31.0 [16]; 34.4 [19]; 30.59 [20]
E115	$7p^3$	$^4\mathrm{P}^o_{3/2}$	70.5	

perheavy elements compared to their lighter analogs mostly come from relativistic effects associated with Dirac equation. Inclusion of Breit and QED effects is important for accurate results but cause little difference. The contribution of the correlations is similar for superheavy elements and their lighter analogs. Accurate treatment of the correlations represent the main challenge for the calculations. For atoms with relatively simple electron structure (no more than three valence electrons), as those considered in present paper, the calculations are done with sufficiently high accuracy.

Acknowledgements The work was supported in part by the Australian Research Council.



Fig. 1 Density of valence electrons for the ground state ($7s^2 7p_{1/2}$ $^2\mathrm{P}^o_{1/2}$) of Uut (E113). Solid line - CI wave function (26). Dashed line - density in the relativistic Hartree-Fock approximation (27). Dotted line is the Hartree-Fock density in the non-relativistic limit.



Fig. 2 Density of valence electrons for the ground state ($7s^2 7p^2$ $(1/2, 1/2)_0$) of Fl (E114). See Fig. 1 for notations.

References

1. Ramirez, E. Minaya; Ackermann, D.; Blaum, K.; et al.: Direct Mapping of Nuclear Shell Effects in the Heaviest Elements. SCIENCE **337** , 1207 (2012)
2. Hamilton, J. H., Hofmann, S., Oganessian, Y. T.: Search for Superheavy Nuclei. Ann. Rev. Nuc. Part. Science, **63**, 383 (2013)
3. Türler, Andreas and Pershina, Valeria: Advances in the production and chemistry of the heaviest elements. Chem. Rev. **113**, 1237 (2013)
4. Hessberger, Fritz P.: Discovery of the Heaviest Elements. CHEMPHYSCHEM **14**, 483 (2013)
5. Schädel, Matthias: Chemistry of the superheavy elements. Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London A **373**, 20140191 (2015)
6. Sato, T.K., Asai, M., Borschevsky, A., Stora, T., Sato, N., Kaneya, Y., Tsukada, K., Düllmann, Ch. E., Eberhardt, K., Eliav, E., et al: Measurement of the first ionization potential of lawrencium, element 103. Nature **520**, 209 (2015)
7. Block, Michael: Studies of the heaviest elements with SHIPTRAP, talk on the Pacifichem 2015 Congress, Honolulu (2015)
8. Sato, Tetsuya: First ionization energies of heaviest actinides, lawrencium and nobelium, talk on the Pacifichem 2015 Congress, Honolulu (2015)
9. Eliav, E., Fritzsche, S., Kaldor, U.: Electronic structure theory of the superheavy elements. Nuc. Phys, A **944**, 518 (2015)
10. Pershina, V.: Electronic structure and properties of superheavy elements. Nuc. Phys, A **944**, 578 (2015)



Fig. 3 Density of valence electrons for the ground state ($7p^3\ ^4S^o_{3/2}$) of Uup (E115). S See Fig. 1 for notations.

11. Dinh, T.H., Dzuba, V.A., Flambaum, V.V.: Calculations of the spectrum of superheavy element E112. Phys. Rev. A **78**, 062502 (2008).
12. Eliav, E., Kaldor, U., Ishikawa, Y., Seth, M., Pyykkö, P.: Calculated energy levels of thallium and eka-thallium (element 113). Phys. Rev. A **53**, 3926 (1996)
13. Pershina, V., Borschevsky, A., Eliav, E., Kaldor, U.: Atomic properties of element 113 and its adsorption on inert surfaces from ab initio Dirac-Coulomb Calculations. J. Phys. Chem. A **112**, 13712 (2008)
14. Yu, Y.J., Dong, C. Z., Li, J. G., Fricke, B.: The excitation energies, ionization potentials, and oscillator strengths of neutral and ionized species of Uuq (Z=114) and homolog elements Ge, Sn, and Pb. J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 124316 (2008)
15. Zaitsevskii, A. V., van Wüllen, C., Titov, A. V.: Relativistic pseudopotential model for superheavy elements: applications to chemistry of eka-Hg and eka-Pb. Russian Chem. Rev. **78**, 1173 (2009)
16. Thierfelder, C., Assadollahzadeh, B., Schwerdtfeger, P.: Relativistic and electron correlation effects in static dipole polarizabilities for the group-14 elements from carbon to element Z=114: Theory and experiment. Phys. Rev. A **78**, 052506 (2008)
17. Landau, A., Eliav, E., Ishikawa, Y., Kaldor, U.: tElectronic structure of eka-lead (element 114) compared with lead. J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 2977 (2001)
18. Borschevsky, A., Pasteka, L. F., Pershina, V., Eliav, E., Kaldor, U.: Ionization potentials and electron affinities of superheavy elements 115-117 and their sixth-row homologues Bi, Po, and At. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 020501(R) (2015)
19. Nash, C. S.: Atomic and Molecular Properties of Elements 112, 114, and 118. J. Phys. Chem. A **109**, 3493 (2005)
20. Pershina, V., Borschevsky, A., Eliav, E., Kaldor, U.: Prediction of the adsorption behavior of elements 112 and 114 on inert surfaces from ab initio Dirac-Coulomb atomic calculations. J. Chem. Phys. **128**, 024707 (2008)
21. Pershina, V., Bastug, T., Fricke, B., Varga, S.: The electronic structure and properties of group 8 oxides MO 4, where M=Ru, Os and Element 108, Hs. J. Chem. Phys. **115**, 792 (2001)
22. Dinh, T. H., Dzuba, V. A., Flambaum, V. V., Ginges, J. S. M.: Calculations of the spectra of superheavy elements Z=119 and Z=120$^+$. Phys. Rev. A **78**, 022507 (2008)
23. Dinh, T. H., Dzuba, V. A., Flambaum, V. V., Ginges, J. S. M.: Calculations of the spectrum of superheavy element E120. Phys. Rev. A **78**, 054501 (2008)
24. Dinh, T. H., Dzuba, V. A., Flambaum, V. V.: Calculation of the hyperfine structure of the superheavy elements Z=119 and Z=120$^+$. Phys. Rev. A **80**, 044502 (2009)
25. Dzuba, V. A.: All-order calculations of the spectra of Ba II, Ra II, Fr I and superheavy elements E119 and E120$^+$. Phys. Rev. A **88**, 042516 (2013)
26. Ginges, J. S. M., Dzuba, V. A.: Spectra of barium, radium, and element 120; application of the combined correlation potential, singes-doubles, and configuration interaction *ab initio* method. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 042505 (2015)
27. Dzuba, A. A.: Combination of the single-double coupled cluster and the configuration interaction methods: application to barium, lutetium and their ions. Phys. Rev. A **90**, 012517 (2014)
28. Safronova, M. S., Kozlov, M. G., Johnson, W. R., Jiang, D.: Development of a configuration-interaction plus all-order method for atomic calculations. Phys. Rev. A **80**, 012516 (2009)
29. Johnson, W. R., Sapirstein, J.: Computation of Second-Order Many-Body Corrections in Relativistic Atomic Systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 1126 (1986)
30. Dzuba, V. A.: V^{N-M} approximation for atomic calculations. Phys. Rev. A, **71**, 032512 (2005)
31. Dzuba, V. A., Flambaum, V. V., Webb, J.: Space-Time Variation of Physical Constants and Relativistic Corrections in Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett., **82**, 888 (1999)
32. Dzuba, V. A., Flambaum, V. V., Webb, J.: Calculations of the Relativistic Effects in Many-Electron Atoms and Space-Time Variation of Fundamental Constants, Phys. Rev. A, **59**, 230 (1999)
33. Dzuba, V. A., Flambaum, V. V.: Atomic calculations and search for variation of the fine structure constant in quasar absorption spectra, Can. J. Phys. **87**, 15 (2009)
34. Derevianko, A., Ravaine, B., Johnson, W. R.: Relaxation effect and radiative corrections in many-electron atoms. Phys. Rev. A **69**, 054502 (2004)
35. Dzuba, V. A., Harabati, C., Johnson, W. R., Safronova, M. S.: Breit correction to the parity-nonconservation amplitude in cesium. Phys. Rev. A **63**, 044103 (2001)
36. Dzuba, V. A., Flambaum, V. V., Safronova, M. S.: Breit Interaction and Parity Non-conservation in Many-Electron Atoms. Phys. Rev. A, **73**, 022112 (2006)
37. Flambaum, V. V., Ginges, J. S. M.: Radiative potential and calculations of QED radiative corrections to energy levels and electromagnetic amplitudes in many-electron atoms. Phys. Rev. A **72**, 052115 (2005)
38. Kramida, A., Ralchenko, Yu., Reader, J., and NIST ASD Team (2015): NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.3), [Online]. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/asd [2016, September 14]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.
39. Mitroy, J., Safronova, M. S., Clark, C. W.: Theory and applications of atomic and ionic polarizabilities. J. Phys. B **43**, 202001 (2010)
40. Dalgarno, A., Lewis, J. T.: The exact calculation of long-range forces between atoms by perturbation theory. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **233**, 70 (1955)
41. Kozlov, M. G., Porsev, S. G., Johnson, W. R.: Parity nonconservation in thallium. Phys. Rev. A **64**, 052107 (2001)
42. Fleig, T.: Spin-orbit-resolved static polarizabilities of group-13 atoms: Four-component relativistic configuration interaction and coupled cluster calculations. Phys. Rev. A **72**, 052506 (2005)
43. T. P. Guella, T. P.: PhD thesis, New York University. (1985)
44. Thierfelder, C., Assadollahzadeh, B., Schwerdtfeger, P., Schäfer, S., Schäfer, R.: Relativistic and electron correlation effects in static dipole polarizabilities for the group-14 elements from carbon to element Z=114: Theory and experiment. Phys. Rev. A **78**, 052506 (2008)
45. Miller, T. M.: Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC, New York (2002)